

Understanding cosmic acceleration with galaxy redshift surveys

Luigi (Gigi) Guzzo INAF - Osservatorio di Brera, Milano



Outline

- Cosmic acceleration: the big puzzle
- Galaxy clustering: a key probe of the cosmological model
- Redshift-space distortions (RSD) an old tool for a new context
- Improving the data: the VIPERS project at ESO
- Improving the modelling: RSD in the precision cosmology era, forecasts and systematic errors
- A glimpse of the distant future: Euclid



Cosmic concordance: a w=-1 Universe?



Amanullah et al. 2010 (Union supernovae)

However, lambda (or dark energy) is not the end of the story...



Modify gravity theory [e.g. $R \rightarrow f(R)$]



"...the Force be with you"

Add dark energy





• The growth equation (and thus the growth rate) depends not only on the expansion history H(z) (and thus on w(z)) but also on the gravitation theory

• Measuring f(z) we can break the degeneracy between models with same effective H(z), but completely different physics (unless DE clusters, Kunz & Sapone 2007)



DGP: Lue et al. 2004; DM+DE models: Di Porto & Amendola 2007



In galaxy redshift surveys peculiar velocities manifest themselves as <u>redshift-space</u> <u>distortions</u> (Kaiser 1987)

In galaxy redshift surveys peculiar velocities manifest themselves as <u>redshift-space</u> <u>distortions</u> (Kaiser 1987)

redshift space

Redshift-space galaxy-galaxy correlation function $\xi(r_{p},\pi)$

A well established effect at $z\sim 0$

• E.g. 2dFGRS, z~0.1, Peacock et al. 2001, Hawkins et al. 2003

 $\beta = 0.49 \pm 0.09$

• Essentially used to obtain an estimate of Ω_M once the bias is known or derived (e.g. from the bi-spectrum, Verde et al. 2001):

(Defiinitive 2dFGRS measurement: Hawkins et al. 2003)

...which becomes even more interesting in the context of DE

Vol 451|31 January 2008 doi:10.1038/nature06555

nature

LETTERS

A test of the nature of cosmic acceleration using galaxy redshift distortions

L. Guzzo^{1,2,3,4}, M. Pierleoni³, B. Meneux⁵, E. Branchini⁶, O. Le Fèvre⁷, C. Marinoni⁸, B. Garilli⁵, J. Blaizot³, G. De Lucia³, A. Pollo^{7,9}, H. J. McCracken^{10,11}, D. Bottini⁵, V. Le Brun⁷, D. Maccagni⁵, J. P. Picat¹², R. Scaramella^{13,14}, M. Scodeggio⁵, L. Tresse⁷, G. Vettolani¹³, A. Zanichelli¹³, C. Adami⁷, S. Arnouts⁷, S. Bardelli¹⁵, M. Bolzonella¹⁵, A. Bongiorno¹⁶, A. Cappi¹⁵, S. Charlot¹⁰, P. Ciliegi¹⁵, T. Contini¹², O. Cucciati^{1,17}, S. de la Torre⁷, K. Dolag³, S. Foucaud¹⁸, P. Franzetti⁵, I. Gavignaud¹⁹, O. Ilbert²⁰, A. Iovino¹, F. Lamareille¹⁵, B. Marano¹⁶, A. Mazure⁷, P. Memeo⁵, R. Merighi¹⁵, L. Moscardini^{16,21}, S. Paltani^{22,23}, R. Pellò¹², E. Perez-Montero¹², L. Pozzetti¹⁵, M. Radovich²⁴, D. Vergani⁵, G. Zamorani¹⁵ & E. Zucca¹⁵

(LG et al. 2008, Nature 451, 541)

 $\beta = 0.70 \pm 0.26$ (@ z=0.77)

(see also Zhang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 141302 (2007), proposing combination of lensing and z-distortions)

•VVDS-Wide F22 field: 4 deg² •IAB<22.5 •0.6<z<1.2 --> 5988 redshift •Effective <z>=0.77

The signature of linear growth at z~1

VVDS-Wide @ z=0.77:

 $f = b_L \beta = 0.91 \pm 0.36$

Where $b_L = 1.3 \pm 0.1$ is measured combining VVDS and CMB (e.g. Marinoni et al. 2005)

Guzzo et al. 2008, Nature 451, 541

Redshift surveys also measure H(z): the galaxy power spectrum as a standard ruler

Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) in the galaxy distribution

Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations: measure H(z) from redshift surveys

Waiting for Euclid...

VIPERS: exploiting VIMOS Multi-Object Spectroscopy at the VLT (440 hours)

+2x4 deg2 slice in CFHTLS W4 field (VVDS F22)

VIPERS in a nut-shell

- 440.5 VLT hours
- ~24 deg² over W1 and W4 CFHTLS wide fields (~16 + 8)
- I_{AB} <22.5, LR Red grism, 45 min exp.
- 288 VIMOS pointings
- z>0.5 color-color pre-selection
- PSF + SED –based star-galaxy separation (AGN color recovery)
- ~100,000 redshifts, >40% sampling
- Density and volume comparable to 2dFGRS, but at z~0.8

VIPERS broader scientific goals

- Growth rate from redshift-space distortions
- Galaxy clustering at $z \sim 1$ with comparable precision to $z \sim 0$:
 - Evolution of $\xi(\mathbf{r})$ and P(k) ($\Omega_{\rm m}$, $\Omega_{\rm b}$ at z~1)
 - Dependence on galaxy properties
 - Galaxy-DM relations (HOD modeling)
- Galaxy biasing
- Massive clusters and super-clusters of galaxies (large volume)
- Evolution of galaxy colors and environmental effects (good sampling)
- Bright/massive/rare galaxies and the galaxy luminosity and stellar mass functions (large volume)
- Evolution of AGN's
- Weak-lensing (photo-z calibration, combination with CFHTLenS)
- Multi-wavelength properties (SWIRE, XMM-XXL, UDS)

VIPERS Team

- MILANO OAB (Project Office): L. Guzzo, B. Granett, A. Iovino, A. Marchetti, S. Rota, U. Abbas (Turin)
- MILANO IASF (Data Reduction Centre): B. Garilli, M. Scodeggio, D. Bottini, A. Fritz, P. Franzetti, D. Maccagni, L. Paioro, M. Polletta
- **BOLOGNA**: M. Bolzonella, L. Moscardini, A. Cappi, Y. Davidzon, C. Di Porto, F. Marulli, D. Vergani, G. Zamorani, A. Zanichelli, E. Branchini (Rome)
- EDINBURGH: J. Peacock, S. de la Torre
- GARCHING MPE: S. Phleps, H. Schlagenhaufer, B. Meneux
- MARSEILLE: O. Le Fevre, C. Adami, J. Bel, V. Le Brun, L. Guennou, L. Tasca, C. Marinoni
- PARIS (TERAPIX CFHTLS): H. McCracken, Y. Mellier, M. Volk, J. Coupon (Tokyo), J. Blaizot (Lyon)
- TRIESTE: G. De Lucia, O. Cucciati
- PORTSMOUTH: W. Percival, R. Tojeiro, R. Nichol, A. Burden
- WARSAW: A. Pollo, K. Malek, J. Krywult (Kielce)

VIPERS coverage (as of Dec 2011): ~55,000 spectra observed; ~35,000 redshifts reduced and validated (v2.0 internal release)

W1

W4

Projected correlation function wp(rp) from early ~12,000 high-quality VIPERS redshifts

- Remarkably small
 difference of clustering
 (amplitude and shape)
 between the two fields
- Well-defined correlation function on 0.1<rp<~15 scales
- Galaxy bias b~1.35 (assuming ΛCDM)

Early $\xi(r_p,\pi)$ from first ~12,000 high-quality VIPERS redshifts at 0.5<z<1

Data

Best-fitting model (β =0.62)

2 parameter fit of the full shape of $\xi(r_p, \pi)$ on $0 < r_p < 20$ scale (S. de la Torre, & the VIPERS Collaboration)

Expectations from fully completed survey

BAO-designed surveys can also measure RSD well

WiggleZ: ~152,000 gals
over 5000 deg² (Blake et al.
2011, arXiv:1104.2948)

SDSS-III BOSS DR9:
 ~265,000 galaxies over 3275
 deg² (see series of BOSS
 papers on astro-ph)

- VIPERS will measure β with multiple populations and reduce cosmic variance (McDonald & Seljak, 2009, JCAP; but see Gil-Marin et al. 2010, arXiv:1003.3238), while testing systematic effects

How to define sub-populations: PCA spectral classification

- Classify quantitatively sub-populations of galaxies (e.g. LRG-like)
- Build well-defined subsample for cosmological
 analysis (e.g. a la
 McDonald & Seljak 2010)
- "Repair" damaged spectra
- Consistently compareclustering/evolution withz~0 samples

A. Marchetti (PhD project), B. Granett & LG

The current status (update to a week ago), including new ways to measure f(z)

1. From redshift distortions

- From peculiar velocities at low redshift (Hudson & Turnbull 2012, astro-ph)
- 3. From a direct estimate of the growth of structure using passive galaxies as tracers (Tojeiro et al. 2012)

(from Tojeiro & BOSS Collaboration 2012)

Percival & White 2009; White, Song & Percival 2009

Expected P(k) at <z>~0.8 from VIPERS

- Measure $\Omega_{\rm m} h$ from shape of power spectrum
- BAO (baryon fraction, standard ruler? → Reconstruction – see Padmanabhan 2012)
- z-space distortions
- neutrino mass?

•

- large-scale bias vs galaxy properties
- $k / h Mpc^{-1}$ 0.05 0.1 0.2 S 4.5 $h^{-3}Mpc^{3}$ log₁₀ P(k) / 3.5 က ເລ. ∾_1.5 -1 -0.5 \log_{10} k / h Mpc⁻¹

(simulation by W. Percival)

Early results using VIPERS: real-space galaxy P(k) at <z>~0.8 from the full CFHTLS-Wide data, "sliced" using VIPERS N(z) and color selection

B. Granett & VIPERS Team, MNRAS, in press, arXiv 1112.0008

•

•

- VIPERS mag/color criteria work very well in selecting 0.5<z<1.2
- Characterize VIPERS parent sub-catalogue
- Accurate N(z) crucial for de-projection: provided by VIPERS
- Exploits currently largest available volume of CFHTLS-Wide areas
- Recent Cl angular Thomas

Improving the tool: RSD in the precision cosmology era

Systematic errors in estimating β with classical linear model + exponential correction

Bianchi, LG, Branchini et al. 2012, arXiv:1203.1545

 Based on BASICC simulation halo catalogues (Angulo et al): 3 billion particles in a 1340 h⁻¹ Mpc side box

• RESULT: ~5-10% systematic underestimate

• Hints that larger-mass halos do perform better (e.g. LRGs)

• See also Okumura & Jing 2011 using ratios of moments and Kwan et al. 2011

• Calls for improved description of RSD

• Much work ongoing (e.g. Kwan et al. 2011, Reid & White 2012, ...)

- "ILLUMINATING DARK ENERGY WITH THE NEXT GENERATION OF COSMOLOGICAL REDSHIFT SURVEYS"
- ERC Advanced Research grant, 5 years, 1.72 Meuro
- 5 postdoc + 3 PhD positions
- Starting 1 May 2012
- Improve modelling and estimators of clustering and redshift distortions, preparring for precision cosmology
- Apply them to ongoing new surveys (e.g. VIPERS)
- Combine with other probes of LSS (clusters of galaxies) and CMB measurements (Planck)

→ PREPARE FOR EUCLID...

EUCLID, an all-sky imaging and spectroscopic survey from space

→NOTE: EUCLID IS NOT TWO SATELLITES !!!!

APPROVED ON OCTOBER 4 FOR LAUNCH IN 2019

One satellite, two dark-energy probes:

- Weak lensing
- Galaxy clustering
- EUCLID Consortium now lead by Y. Mellier
- Merge of two earlier proposals: DUNE (A. Refregier) and SPACE (A. Cimatti)

EUCLID Consortium

Euclid will image the

- best 1/3 of the sky (15000 deg²)
- similar resolution at HST in optical
- NIR imaging in 3 filters
- Images for 2x10⁹ galaxies

and carry out an unprecedented redshift survey with

 NIR spectra for 5x10⁷ galaxies (0.7<z<2)

The Euclid "Red Book"

http://sci.esa.int/science-e/www/object/ index.cfm?fobjectid=48983#

ESA/SRE(2011)12 July 2011

Euclid

Mapping the geometry of the dark Universe

Definition Study Report

Growth with Euclid: RSD

Euclid General Meeting

7th September 2011

Summary

- Explaining the origin of cosmic acceleration is plausibly the most compelling problem in cosmology: did Einstein have the last word on gravity?
- A brilliant future for galaxy redshift surveys: measure both w(z) and f(z) using BAOs/P(k) and z-distortions (plus clusters...) → test dark energy vs modified gravity
- A renaissance for redshift-space distortions: not considered in this context before 2008, now accepted as standard "dark energy probe" (EUCLID)

1) RSD: Improving the data

- Exciting z-distortions results from WiggleZ and BOSS, originally fully focused on BAO
- VIPERS: a 2dFGRS at z~0.8, ~100,000 highly-sampled redshifts; early measurement of realspace P(k) in combination with CFHTLS
- EUCLID is approved and plans to couple a massive (slitless) redshift survey with a highresolution imaging survey, to combine galaxy clustering and weak lensing (launch 2019)

2) RSD: Improving the estimators

- Need to go beyond Kaiser-Hamilton formalism, if we aim at precision cosmology on f(z)
- Do simultaneous estimate of BAO and z-distortions (including Alcock-Paczynski, see Simpson & Peacock 2010)
- A lot of work ongoing in the community, exciting times ahead
- **DARKLIGHT**: an ERC-supported program to bring estimators to the level of the new data

